

To: Diamond, Jane[Diamond.Jane@epa.gov]; Hanley, Mary[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov]; Grevatt, Peter[Grevatt.Peter@epa.gov]; Kopocis, Ken[Kopocis.Ken@epa.gov]
Cc: Montgomery, Michael[Montgomery.Michael@epa.gov]; Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]; Blumenfeld, Jared[BLUMENFELD.JARED@EPA.GOV]; Kao, Jessica[Kao.Jessica@epa.gov]; Strauss, Alexis[Strauss.Alexis@epa.gov]; Blumenfeld, Jared[BLUMENFELD.JARED@EPA.GOV]
From: Meiburg, Stan
Sent: Fri 3/6/2015 10:54:24 PM
Subject: RE: Summary of EPA's oversight of California's oil and gas UIC program--for March 9 Bi-Weekly HF Status Meeting

Very good—thanks, Jane.

Stan

A. Stanley Meiburg, Ph.D.

Acting Deputy Administrator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MC-1102A

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20460

From: Diamond, Jane
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2015 5:48 PM
To: Meiburg, Stan; Hanley, Mary; Grevatt, Peter; Kopocis, Ken
Cc: Montgomery, Michael; Albright, David; Blumenfeld, Jared; Kao, Jessica; Strauss, Alexis; Blumenfeld, Jared
Subject: RE: Summary of EPA's oversight of California's oil and gas UIC program--for March 9 Bi-Weekly HF Status Meeting

Hi Stan. We have 10 minutes as part of the general HF update on Monday. We're still refining the letter. I will forward the latest version before I leave today. Have a great weekend Jane

Jane Diamond

Water Director, EPA Region 9

415-947-8707

From: Meiburg, Stan

Sent: Friday, March 06, 2015 2:44 PM

To: Diamond, Jane; Hanley, Mary; Grevatt, Peter; Kopocis, Ken

Cc: Montgomery, Michael; Albright, David; Blumenfeld, Jared; Kao, Jessica; Strauss, Alexis

Subject: RE: Summary of EPA's oversight of California's oil and gas UIC program--for March 9 Bi-Weekly HF Status Meeting

Thank you, Jane. Jared's weekend note to the Administrator says there is a briefing for me planned for March 9, but the only relevant meeting I see on my calendar is the general HF update for the Administrator on Monday.

Also, I would like to see a copy of the proposed response letter.

Stan

A. Stanley Meiburg, Ph.D.

Acting Deputy Administrator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MC-1102A

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20460

From: Diamond, Jane

Sent: Friday, March 06, 2015 1:22 PM

To: Meiburg, Stan; Hanley, Mary; Grevatt, Peter; Kopocis, Ken

Cc: Montgomery, Michael; Albright, David; Blumenfeld, Jared; Kao, Jessica; Strauss, Alexis

Subject: Summary of EPA's oversight of California's oil and gas UIC program--for March 9 Bi-Weekly HF Status Meeting

Below is a summary of Region 9's recent activities to oversee California's SDWA Class II oil and gas UIC primacy program. We will call into the March 9 Bi-Weekly HF Status Meeting for the Deputy Administrator to provide an overview and answer any questions.

The state of California was delegated primary responsibility for implementing the Class II oil and gas underground injection control (UIC) program of the federal Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) in 1983. As a part of its oversight role, EPA audited California's Department of Conservation, Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR) Class II UIC primacy program in 2011 and identified substantial implementation deficiencies. In 2012, EPA conducted a review of aquifer exemptions that raised questions about the alignment of injection wells with EPA-approved exemption boundaries.

On July 17 and December 22, 2014, EPA sent letters to DOGGR and the Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) (collectively, the state) highlighting concerns, providing direction and requesting information about DOGGR's implementation of its underground injection control program for oil and gas-related Class II wells. EPA's letters responded to DOGGR's lack of progress in addressing these issues over the prior two years.

EPA has been meeting regularly for the past year with senior officials with the Department of Conservation, DOGGR, the Water Board and the Central Valley Regional Water Board to discuss the state's implementation of the Class II UIC program, the identification of Class II injection wells that may be injecting into non-exempt aquifers, the state's ongoing assessment of drinking water sources that may be impacted by improper injection, and to establish an effective process for reviewing and approving aquifer exemptions in California. EPA's December 22 letter directed the state to submit a Program Revision Plan by February 6, 2015, providing for full compliance with the SDWA by February 2017.

EPA received a copy of the state's Program Revision Plan on February 6, 2015 and has been discussing the Plan with State officials over the past several weeks. We are targeting a response letter back to the State on March 9, four weeks following the State's submittal and in advance of a State Senate oversight hearing on March 10th. EPA's response letter includes required activities and deliverables, with target milestones and compliance deadlines. The signed letter will be sent to the State, posted on our web site, provided to reporters who have been following this story, and sent to the State Senate in response to their request for EPA participation in the hearing, which the Regional Administrator declined.